Influence of As on the formation of mask-edge defects during stressed solid phase epitaxy in patterned Si wafers
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The influence of As on the evolution of mask-edge defects during stressed solid phase epitaxy of two-dimensional Si\textsuperscript{+} pre-amorphized regions in patterned Si wafers was examined. Mask-edge defects ∼60 nm deep formed at 525 °C for As\textsuperscript{+} implant energies of 7.5–50 keV with peak As concentration of ∼5.0 × 10\textsuperscript{20} cm\textsuperscript{-3}. Defect formation was attributed to an As-enhanced [110] regrowth rate relative to the [001] regrowth rate creating an amorphous/crystalline interface geometry favorable for defect formation. The similarity of mask-edge defect depths with As\textsuperscript{+} implant energy was attributed to surface retardation of [110] regrowth in shallow implants and enhanced [001] regrowth in deeper implants. Results indicate stress effects on regrowth rates are small compared to dopant effects. © 2008 American Vacuum Society. [DOI: 10.1116/1.2775459]

I. INTRODUCTION

It is known that pre-amorphization followed by subsequent solid phase epitaxy (SPE) of amorphized (α) Si created via ion-implantation can produce shallower junctions with active dopant concentrations in excess of substitutional solubility.\textsuperscript{1–3} However, mask-edge defect formation in two-dimensional α-Si regions used for source and drain regions resulting from anisotropic SPE rates can severely degrade device performance.\textsuperscript{4–6} Interestingly, it was shown that stress applied via patterning and external mechanical sources can influence the formation of these defects in regions amorphized with non-dopant species.\textsuperscript{7–9} This stems from the observation that SPE rates are affected by stress.\textsuperscript{10,11} However, while it is known that stress can influence defect formation via SPE, it is unclear whether the addition of dopant atoms affects a two-dimensional SPE process when stress is applied. Past studies investigating mask-edge defect formation utilized dopant species with nonuniform concentrations for creating α-Si layers.\textsuperscript{12,13} Furthermore, since it is well known that dopant atoms cause large enhancements to the rate of SPE, it is possible that this influenced the shape of the regrowing α/crystalline interface.\textsuperscript{14–16} In addition, it is unclear what effects dopants have on SPE for crystal orientations other than (001) and this may also be a contributing factor in the evolution of the regrowing interface. Furthermore, in the case of As\textsuperscript{+}-implantation below saturation but above solubility, dislocation loops form at the projected range ($R_p$) and it is unclear if the formation of these defects affects mask-edge defect formation.\textsuperscript{17–20} Thus, the goal of this study is to determine the effect stress on SPE and mask-edge defect formation in two-dimensional α-Si regions implanted with a non-uniform As concentration.
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II. EXPERIMENT

For this study, 750-μm-thick patterned (001) Si wafers were used. The patterning consisted of ∼0.6 × 0.6 μm\textsuperscript{2} structures aligned along (110) in-plane directions with 150 nm of Si\textsubscript{3}N\textsubscript{4} on 10 nm of SiO\textsubscript{2} with ∼0.3 μm of unmasked area between adjacent structures. All samples were Si\textsuperscript{+}-implanted at 20 and 60 keV with doses of 1 × 10\textsuperscript{15} cm\textsuperscript{-2} to produce an α-Si layer ∼120 nm deep. Subsequently, some samples were additionally implanted with As\textsuperscript{+} using energies of 7.5, 15, 30, or 50 keV with doses of 5.0 × 10\textsuperscript{14}, 7.3 × 10\textsuperscript{14}, 1.1 × 10\textsuperscript{15}, or 1.6 × 10\textsuperscript{15} cm\textsuperscript{-2}, respectively. These combinations were utilized to produce a peak As concentration of ∼5.0 × 10\textsuperscript{20} cm\textsuperscript{-3} at different depths as predicted using simulations.\textsuperscript{21} Samples were annealed at 525 °C in an N\textsubscript{2} ambient for times of 0.8–3.0 h. Anneal times were chosen so that the SPE process was observed after half the annealing time required for completion ($t_{0.5}$) and after the full time required for completion ($t_{1.0}$). The anneal times were estimated based on the [001] SPE rate as enhanced by the presence of As and stress.\textsuperscript{4,9,14} Bright-field (BF) cross-sectional transmission electron microscopy (XTEM) imaging using a $g_{022}$ two-beam condition was used to image the regrowth of the α-Si layers and the formation of mask-edge defects. Specimens were prepared via focused ion beam milling.

III. RESULTS

Figure 1 displays XTEM images of the evolution of the SPE process at 525 °C in Si\textsuperscript{+}-implanted square structures with and without the patterning. The as-implanted samples are shown in the BF XTEM images in Figs. 1(a) and 1(d) and exhibit an α/crystalline interface with rounded corners beneath the SiO\textsubscript{2}/Si\textsubscript{3}N\textsubscript{4} mask edges. When the patterning was removed (unstrained) via HF etching and the samples annealed for $t_{0.5}$ = 1.5 h, the [110] and [001] SPE fronts con-
 verge at a depth of \(\sim 60\) nm as shown in Fig. 1(b). In contrast, when the patterning was left intact (stressed) and the sample annealed to \(t_{0.5}=1.5\) h, shown in Fig. 1(e), convergence is delayed until the [001] \(\alpha/\)crystalline interface was \(\sim 20\) nm deep. After annealing for \(t_{1.0}=3.0\) h, the unstrained sample exhibits mask-edge defects extending \(\sim 70\) nm deep as shown in Fig. 1(c) while the stressed specimen shows no mask-edge defects as displayed in Figs. 1(f) and 2(a). Small end of range (EOR) defects are observed near the original \(\alpha/\)crystalline interface in all specimens. The observations in mask-edge defect formation are the result of tensile [110] stresses with magnitude \(\sim 300\) MPa induced in the substrate as predicted by simulations. The [110] tensile stresses retard [110] SPE and prevent defect formation as presented elsewhere. Interestingly, annealing for \(t_{1.0}=2.6\) h in stressed specimens As\(^{+}\)-implanted at 7.5 keV produced mask-edge defects \(\sim 52\) nm deep, as shown in Fig. 2(b). Thus, it is evident that the presence of As during SPE influences mask-edge defect formation.

The case of SPE at 525 °C with the addition of As\(^{+}\)-implantation at various energies in stressed (unetched) specimens is now considered. Figures 3(a)–3(c) present XTEM micrographs of the evolution of SPE in stressed samples additionally As\(^{+}\)-implanted at 7.5 keV. The predicted As concentration \((C_{A})\) profile is schematically superimposed on the as-implanted image in Fig. 3(a), which indicates \(R_{p}\) of \(\sim 10\) nm. After annealing for \(t_{0.5}=1.3\) h, shown in the BF XTEM micrograph presented in Fig. 3(b), there is still significant separation of the [110] and [001] SPE fronts, presumably since the [001] \(\alpha/\)crystalline interface did not approach the peak of the As profile. A small protrusion in the [110] \(\alpha/\)crystalline interface near \(R_{p}\) (indicated by arrow) is evident, suggesting local SPE enhancement as indicated in Fig. 4(a). Annealing for \(t_{1.0}=2.6\) h, shown in Fig. 3(c), reveals mask-edge defects \(\sim 52\) nm deep.

Figures 3(d)–3(f) display XTEM micrographs of SPE occurring at 525 °C in stressed samples additionally As\(^{+}\)-implanted at 15 keV. A predicted \(R_{p}\) of \(\sim 16\) nm is shown schematically in Fig. 3(d) on the image displaying the predicted As profile in the as-implanted structure. The BF XTEM image of SPE completed to \(t_{0.5}=1.2\) h, shown in Fig. 3(e), shows a small protrusion (indicated by an arrow) in the [110] \(\alpha/\)crystalline interface near \(R_{p}\) of the As, indicating a localized SPE enhancement. Completion of SPE after 2.3 h, shown in Fig. 3(f), reveals the existence of mask-edge defects at a depth of \(\sim 56\) nm, similar to the 7.5 keV As\(^{+}\)-implanted specimen. It is interesting to note the smaller protrusion in the \(\alpha/\)crystalline interface near \(R_{p}\) for the 7.5...
kiloelectronvolt (keV) As$^+$-implanted sample. This observation may be related to interface pinning at the SiO$_2$/Si/$\alpha$-Si boundary, which may have had a significant retarding effect near $R_p$ for the 7.5 keV implant energy.

Images depicting SPE evolution at 525 °C in stressed specimens additionally As$^+$-implanted at 30 keV are shown in Figs. 3(g)–3(i). Again, the predicted As profile is superimposed on the as-implanted structure, which indicates $R_p$ of $\sim$26 nm as shown in Fig. 3(g).$^{21}$ Annealing for $t_{0.5}$=1.0 h produced a very distinct protrusion in the [110] $\alpha$-crystalline interface near $R_p$, again indicating a local SPE enhancement, as shown in Fig. 3(h). In this case, the protrusion (indicated by an arrow) is more pronounced compared to the 7.5 and 15 keV As$^+$-implanted samples, as shown in Fig. 4(b), presumably due to greater distance from the SiO$_2$/Si/$\alpha$-Si boundary. Completion of SPE after 2.0 h, shown in Fig. 2(i), reveals substantial mask-edge defects at a depth of $\sim$56 nm, similar to the 15 keV As$^+$-implanted case.

Lastly, the sequence of SPE evolution at 525 °C in stressed samples additionally As$^+$-implanted at 50 keV is presented in Figs. 3(j)–3(l). The As profile superimposed on the as-implanted structure, presented in Fig. 3(j), indicates $R_p$ of $\sim$39 nm.$^{21}$ Annealing for $t_{0.5}$=0.8 h reveals that mask-edge defect formation has already commenced, as presented in Fig. 3(k). Completion of SPE after 1.5 h, shown in Fig. 3(l), reveals clear mask-edge defects with a depth of $\sim$60 nm, similar to the other samples.

IV. DISCUSSION

Comparing the different As implants suggests that even with the application of stress from the patterning, the [110] SPE enhancement from the dopants is large enough to overcome the retardation from the stress, though the stress effect is not negligible as evidenced by the deeper defects observed in unstressed Si$^+$-implanted samples. Furthermore, the defect depth apparently is not very sensitive to As$^+$ implant energy. In the case of shallower As$^+$-implantation, the [001] regrowth front is far from $R_p$ until it nears the surface and thus suffers minimal dopant enhancement. Correspondingly, the [110] SPE front is enhanced enough to produce an interface geometry favorable for defect formation, though it is somewhat retarded by the presence of the SiO$_2$/Si/$\alpha$-Si boundary.$^{24}$ In the case of deeper implantation, [001] SPE is enhanced at greater depths compared to shallower implantation. Meanwhile, the [110] front is less affected by the surface in this case and suffers greater enhancement. However, the enhancement to [001] SPE is great enough to keep the interface geometry unfavorable for defect formation until the regrowth process has partially completed. Thus, increasing the implant energy tends to enhance [001] SPE, which somewhat compensates for the enhanced [110] SPE. In contrast, decreasing the implant energy causes [110] SPE to be somewhat retarded by the presence of the surface, allowing the [001] front to regrow appreciably. This is evident in the XTEM images presented in Fig. 4.

It is interesting to note that no defects at the $R_p$ of the implanted As were observed in any of the As$^+$-implanted samples, though the peak As concentration is well in excess of active solubility.$^{17}$ Furthermore, stress from the patterning did not influence the formation of these defects since none were observed in pattern-free specimens (not presented). Thus, it is likely that the As concentration was not high enough to form the extended defects and therefore it remains unknown what influence the formation of $R_p$ defects has on mask-edge defect formation.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In summary, the influence of As$^+$-implantation on the formation of mask-edge defects during stressed solid phase epitaxy of two-dimensional amorphous Si regions created via ion-implantation was examined. The results indicated that the effect of stress on regrowth was much smaller than the enhancement generated via As$^+$-implantation, though the stress effect was not negligible. It was determined for a fixed peak As concentration of $\sim$5.0×10$^{20}$ cm$^{-3}$ that increasing the As$^+$ implant energy did not significantly affect the depth of the mask-edge defects. This observation was attributed to localized enhancement in the [110] regrowth rate near the projected range of the As, which was retarded when the projected range was close to the surface or compensated by enhanced [001] SPE for a deeper projected range. Additionally, it appears the As concentration was not high enough to form defects at the As projected range and thus it is unknown what effect the formation of any projected range defects has on mask-edge defect formation.
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